



Heritage Advisory Committee

Minutes

11 April 2018

Committee Terms of Reference

The Heritage Advisory Committee is to provide heritage advice on:

- City-owned heritage assets*
- strategic and statutory planning instruments*
- promotion and education of heritage*
- enhancement of economic development and tourism opportunities*
- matters raised by the general community*

City of Bunbury
4 Stephen Street
Bunbury WA 6230
Western Australia

Correspondence to:
Post Office Box 21
Bunbury WA 6231

Heritage Advisory Committee

Minutes

11 April 2018

Members of the public to note that recommendations made by this committee are not final and will be subject to adoption (or otherwise) at a future meeting of the Bunbury City Council.

Committee Members:

Member Name	Representing
Cr Brendan Kelly	City of Bunbury
Cr Tresslyn Smith	City of Bunbury
Mrs Del Ambrosius	Community
Mr Bernhard Bischoff	Community
Mr Morris Johnston	Community
Mr Kent Lyon	Community
Mr Raymond Parks	Community
Mr Peter Suckling	Community

Support Staff:

Name	Title
Ms Lacey Brown	Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Mr Kelvin Storey	Team Leader Strategic Planning and Urban Design
Ms Felicity Anderson	Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Ms Cassandra Uren (Minutes)	Executive Assistant to Director Planning and Development Services

Table of Contents

Item No	Subject	Page No
1.	Declaration of Opening	3
2.	Disclaimer	3
3.	Announcements from the Presiding Member	3
4.	Attendances	3
4.1	Apologies.....	3
4.2	Approved Leave of Absence.....	3
5.	Declaration of Interest	3
6.	Public Question Time	3
7.	Confirmation of Minutes	3
8.	Petitions, Presentations and Deputations	4
8.1	Petitions	4
8.2	Presentations	4
8.3	Deputations.....	4
9.	Method of Dealing with Agenda Business	4
10.	Reports	5
10.1	Proposed Carport at Lot 17 #49 Moore Street, Bunbury.....	5
10.2	Adoption of Heritage Draft Local Planning Policies	9
10.3	Heritage Awards – Winners Announced.....	14
10.4	Bunbury Diorama	17
10.5	Heritage Reports	20
11.	Applications for Leave of Absence	22
12.	Questions from Members	22
12.1	Response to Previous Questions from Members taken on Notice.....	22
12.2	Questions from Members.....	22
13.	Urgent Business	22
14.	Date of Next Meeting	22
15.	Close of Meeting	22

1. Declaration of Opening

The presiding member declared the meeting open at 4.00pm.

2. Disclaimer

Not applicable to this committee.

3. Announcements from the Presiding Member

4. Attendances

4.1 Apologies

Mr Kent Lyon

Ms Lacey Brown

4.2 Approved Leave of Absence

5. Declaration of Interest

IMPORTANT: Committee members to complete a “Disclosure of Interest” form for each item on the agenda in which they wish to disclose a financial/proximity/impartiality interest. They should give the form to the Presiding Member <u>before</u> the meeting commences. After the meeting, the form is to be forwarded to the Administration Services Section for inclusion in the Corporate Financial Disclosures Register.

Mrs Ambrosius declared a proximity interest in item 10.1.

Peter Suckling moved a motion that Mrs Ambrosius remain in the meeting for all items which was seconded by Cr Smith

6. Public Question Time

Not applicable

7. Confirmation of Minutes

Committee Decision: **Moved: Mr Parks** **Seconded: Cr Smith**

The minutes of the Heritage Advisory Committee Meeting held on 14 February 2018, are confirmed as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

8. Petitions, Presentations and Deputations

8.1 Petitions

Nil

8.2 Presentations

Nil

8.3 Deputations

Nil

9. Method of Dealing with Agenda Business

Items were discussed and voted on in the order they appeared on the agenda.

10. Reports

10.1 Proposed Carport at Lot 17 #49 Moore Street, Bunbury

File Ref:	P01524 (DA/2017.281.1)
Applicant/Proponent:	Paul Malcolm McNaughton
Responsible Officer:	Teshome Tadesse, Planning Officer
Responsible Manager:	Thor Farnworth, Manager Planning and Development Services
Executive:	Felicity Anderson, Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Appendix 1 – Development Plans Appendix 2 – Stirling Street Heritage Area Appendix 3 – Draft East Bunbury Heritage Area Appendix 4 – Photographs

Summary

The City of Bunbury has received an application for the construction of a carport at Lot 17(#49) Moore Street, East Bunbury. The property is located in the Heritage Area, currently referred to as the Stirling Street Heritage Area and proposed to be known as East Bunbury Heritage Area within the draft Local Planning Policy.

The carport is proposed to be located within the front setback area (in front of the house) which is inconsistent with the draft policy. The policy prohibits the location of carports within the front setback area unless there is no other alternative location. There is an existing tandem carport onsite, and therefore it is considered that there is no sound planning justification to approve a second carport, within the front setback area contrary to policy.

The application is referred to the Heritage Advisory Committee for its recommendation to inform Council's subsequent determination.

For the reasons outlined above and discussed in this report, the application is recommended for refusal.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend refusal, for the following reason:

- (a) The proposed carport located in the front setback is inconsistent with the streetscape character of the locality and Heritage Area. The proposal is inconsistent with the City of Bunbury Local Planning Policy: Stirling Street Heritage Area and the draft Local Planning Policy: East Bunbury Heritage Area.

Strategic Relevance

Theme 1: Our community and culture

Goal: A safe, healthy and cohesive community, with a rich cultural life, and supportive social environment

Objectives: 1.4 Arts, culture, heritage and events that enrich our understanding and enjoyment of life, celebrate our identity and bring the community together

Theme 3: Our places and spaces

Goal: A natural and built environment that reflects Bunbury’s core values

Objectives: 1.3 High-quality urban design, well-planned neighbourhoods with housing choice, and appropriate provision for diverse land uses to meet the community’s needs

Background

A summary table of details relating to the subject site is as follows:

Property Address:	Lot 17 (#49) Moore Street, Bunbury
Zoning:	Residential R15/40
Existing Land Use:	Single Dwelling
Lot Area:	770.12m ²
Heritage:	Located within the Stirling Street Heritage Area/Draft East Bunbury Heritage Area

The Draft East Bunbury Heritage Area Local Planning Policy identifies the category of heritage significance of the subject property (individual building) as ‘No/Little Contribution’ The main consideration and assessment of the proposed development is the impact of the carport in the front setback on the overall character of the Heritage Area.

The property has the benefit an existing tandem carport to the north side of the dwelling, which satisfies the requirements of the Residential Design Codes to provide two car parking bays on site. The proposed development plans are **attached** at Appendix 1.

The boundary of the Stirling Street Heritage Area is **attached** at Appendix 2 and the draft East Bunbury Heritage Area is **attached** at Appendix 3.

Photographs of the existing dwelling and carport are **attached** at Appendix 4.

Legislative Compliance

The following statutory planning instruments of the State Planning Framework and Local Planning Framework are applicable to the assessment of this application for development approval:

- *Planning and Development Act 2005 (as amended)*
- *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*
- City of Bunbury Local Planning Scheme No.8 (LPS8)
- Local Planning Policy: Stirling Street Heritage Area; and
- Draft Local Planning Policy: East Bunbury Heritage Area

Officer Comments

The property is not listed on the City of Bunbury Municipal Inventory; however, the property is located within the Heritage Area, which recognises the collective value of all buildings within the precinct. The proposed location of the carport in front of the building is inconsistent with the adopted and draft local planning policy on heritage.

Objective 1 of Local Planning Policy: Stirling Street Heritage Area, is to “*conserve and protect the cultural heritage significance of the Stirling Street Heritage Area*”, and Objective (b) of the Draft Local Planning Policy: East Bunbury Heritage Area aims to “*encourage new building developments, including alterations or additions to existing buildings, that are sympathetic to, and maintain the integrity of, the East Bunbury Heritage Area’s cultural significance, its established character and visual amenity.*”

The draft policy specifically addresses the location of garages and carports. The policy sets out that garages and carports should be located behind the dwelling and not to be dominant features on the streetscape.

Clause AD6.1 of the draft policy specifies the following development standards:

“Garages or carports are constructed behind the front setback of the main building and comply with the following:

(a) Set back a minimum of distance of 1.0m behind the main building setback; and

(b) the width of the garage or carport is not greater than 6 metres or 35% of the frontage of the lot, whichever is the lesser.”

The proposed carport does not comply with the above-mentioned requirements. The plan shows the carport at the front of the dwelling, and it covers more than 35% of the frontage (37.83%).

The application has been referred to the Regional Heritage Advisor, who provides the following comments:

I have evaluated this proposal against the LPPs and offer the following advice:

The proposed carport to 49 Moore Street is not supported as it is located to the front of the house and it would appear that there are other carparking alternatives for the place.

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

This application for development approval relates to private property, and therefore, the effect of the recommendation has no direct budgetary or financial implications for the City of Bunbury.

If the applicant is aggrieved by Council’s decision they may apply for a review of the decision through the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT). If the decision is forwarded to SAT for appeal, the City of Bunbury may incur legal costs.

Community Consultation

The proposal was advertised for neighbour comments over 14 days (23.2.18 – 16.3.18) and no objection was received at the end of the advertisement period.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

The application for development approval has been referred to the City of Bunbury's Development Coordination Unit for professional advice and technical assessment prior to the finalisation of this report.

Consultation with the applicant

The applicant has been advised that the application would be referred to the Heritage Advisory Committee, as the application sought a significant variation contrary to policy.

Delegation of Authority

This development application is referred to the Heritage Advisory Committee for a recommendation to assist with the decision making process, as required by Local Planning Policy: Development Application Process for Heritage Places.

Outcome of Meeting

The recommendation was moved by Mr Suckling and seconded by Mr Bischoff and was carried unanimously .

Committee Decision: **Moved: Mr Suckling** **Seconded: Mr Bischoff**

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend refusal for the following reason:

- (a) **The proposed carport located in the front setback is inconsistent with the streetscape character of the locality and Heritage Area. The proposal is inconsistent with the City of Bunbury Local Planning Policy: Stirling Street Heritage Area and the draft Local Planning Policy: East Bunbury Heritage Area.**

10.2 Adoption of Draft Heritage Local Planning Policies

File Ref:	A03335
Applicant/Proponent:	Internal Report
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Responsible Manager:	Thor Farnworth, Manager Planning and Development Services
Executive:	Felicity Anderson, Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Appendix 5 – Draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development Appendix 6 – Draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions

Summary

The City of Bunbury’s inaugural Local Planning Strategy was endorsed on 1 March 2018 by the Western Australian Planning Commission and the new Local Planning Scheme No.8 (LPS8) was gazetted on 9 March 2018.

Draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development (**attached** at Appendix 5) and Draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions (**attached** at Appendix 6) is intended to support and complement LPS8. The local planning policies have been piloted in the assessment of development and rate concession applications and advertised for public comment.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend that Council:

1. In accordance with clause 4 of ‘Division 2 – Local Planning Policies’ under ‘Part 2 – Local Planning Framework’ of ‘Schedule 2 – Deemed provisions for local planning schemes’ of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, resolve to proceed with the adoption of the modified proposed Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development and proposed Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions.
2. Publish a notice of the adoption of these local planning policies in a newspaper circulating in the Scheme area, with the draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development and draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions having effect on publication of the notice.
3. Revoke and publish a notice of the revocation of the following local planning policies in a newspaper circulating in the Scheme area:
 - a. Application Fees for Planning Approval for Development of Heritage Places (No.31)
 - b. Assessment of Cultural Heritage Significance (No.32)
 - c. Development Application Process for Heritage Places (No.40)

- d. Heritage Conservation and Development Policy for Heritage Places (No.46)
- e. Rate Concession for Heritage Places (No.57)
- f. Stirling Street Heritage Area (No.61)

4. Advise the submitters of Council's decision.

Strategic Relevance

Key Priority Area No. 1: Community and culture

Objective No. 1.5 Celebrate and recognise the richness of our arts, culture and heritage

Key Priority Area 3: Natural and Built Environment

Objective 3.4 Facilitate urban design, diversity of land uses, and enabling infrastructure.

Background

The City of Bunbury's Local Planning Strategy incorporates Heritage and Character as a theme. It recognises the City's rich and diverse built heritage and the contribution it makes to Bunbury's unique character.

By acknowledging the City's statutory obligations and the need to complement other local planning strategies, the Strategy endeavours to promote a planning framework that will ensure inherent values are conserved for the benefit of current and future generations. The local planning policies are based on principles of sound and responsible planning for the conservation and protection of Western Australia's historic heritage.

At the Council Meeting of 6 September 2016, Council accepted the Heritage Advisory Committee's recommendation that Council proceed to advertise the draft local planning policies and that any submissions from the public advertising period are to be returned to Council for further consideration. The proposed local planning policies included:

- East Bunbury Heritage Area
- Application fees for Planning Approval of Development of Heritage Places
- Development Assessment Process for Heritage Places
- Heritage Buildings
- Assessment of Cultural Heritage Significance
- Heritage Rate Concession
- Variations to Planning Scheme and Residential Design Codes

In response to the issues raised during the consultation period, by officers and the public, the policies were consolidated into two – administrative and design based. This streamlined the policies into a heritage 'package' that is more user friendly whilst retaining the content and criteria.

Council Policy and Legislative Compliance

This final draft of the proposed modified local planning policy has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of 'Division 2 – Local Planning Policies' under 'Part 2 – Local Planning Framework' of 'Schedule 2 – Deemed Provisions for Local Planning Schemes' of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

Officer Comments

Preparation of the modified final draft of the Local Planning Policies was in response to feedback that there was a need to consolidate the policies. The content has remained the same but is now separated into two distinct streams – administrative policy and design guideline policy. This is in response to feedback that there were too many policy documents and a heritage ‘package’ would be more user friendly.

Key issues raised in the nine (9) submissions (1 support, 8 objection) include:

- side setbacks – minimal to one side should be encouraged
- alterations and additions – an amount of protrusion should be allowed for second storey development and there should be no restriction on floor space
- garages and carports – restricting frontage to 35% and 6 metre maximum for a garage does not reflect modern living standards; inhibits the ability of residents to design satisfactory, secure vehicle parking solutions where they have a lack of rear access; and is in conflict with discouraging parking in front of houses. This element should be removed and be based on R-Coded (50% of frontage)
- incidental development requirements – solar panels that have to have north elevation should be allowed even if visible from primary street frontage
- challenging that individual properties should be included in a heritage area if they have no significance
- red-tape associated with doing alterations/additions to conform to heritage area
- such an assortment of different styles of houses that it does not indicate that it should be a heritage area
- should be able to have rate concessions for more than five years
- fees for heritage applications should be free
- support the heritage area as it is an important area of Bunbury and deserves preservation. It has historic, cultural, architectural and social values. It remains essentially untouched.

These issues have been given due to consideration and have been more restrictive than the R-Codes so to reflect the character and prevailing built form of the area. Proposals that are variations from the deem-to-comply would still be assessed on their merits but the purpose of the local planning policies is to establish the intent and design criteria that would generally be considered acceptable.

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

There are no known financial or budget implications. The City already offers rate concessions for conservation works and the draft local planning policies provide guidance and a consistent approach to assessing applications for rate concessions and development.

Community Consultation

The proposed draft Local Planning Policies were publicly advertised for community comment from 14 September 2016 to 14 October 2016, in accordance with clause 4 of ‘Division 2 – Local Planning Policies’ under ‘Part 2 – Local planning framework’ of Schedule 2 – Deemed provisions for local planning schemes’ of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.

Public consultation measures included the following:

- Public notice of the proposal was published during the advertising period in a locally circulated newspaper;
- Public notice of the proposal was posted on the City of Bunbury’s website; and
- Letters were sent to owners of properties within the heritage area and/or heritage listed.

Nine (9) submissions were received during the public advertising period, which are summarised in the officer comments.

The community representatives on the Heritage Advisory Committee were consulted as part of the development of the local planning policies and recommended public advertisement to Council.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

The Heritage Advisory Committee and Council considered the policies prior to public consultation.

The proposed policies have been referred to the City of Bunbury’s Development Coordination Unit (DCU) for professional advice and technical assessment prior to the finalisation of this report. Officers from across the Sustainability, Planning and Development Department have contributed to the preparation of draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development and draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions. The policy content has also been trialled in development assessment by the Development Assessment and Compliance Team.

Outcome of Meeting

It was discussed that on pg.2 Draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development, that in the note, the correct title was the “*Red Mill Store and Residence*”.

The recommendation was amended with the inclusion of point 5:

5. The Heritage Advisory Committee requested that if there are any subsequent changes to either policies prior to policies going to the Ordinary Council Meeting that they be referred by to the Heritage Advisory Committee for further consideration.

The amended recommendation was moved by Mrs Ambrosius and seconded by Mr Suckling and carried unanimously

Committee Decision: Moved: Mrs Ambrosius Seconded: Mr Suckling

That the Heritage Advisory Committee recommend that Council:

- 1. In accordance with clause 4 of ‘Division 2 – Local Planning Policies’ under ‘Part 2 – Local Planning Framework’ of ‘Schedule 2 – Deemed provisions for local planning schemes’ of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, resolve to proceed with the adoption of the modified proposed Local Planning Policy: Heritage Conservation and Development and proposed Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions.**
- 2. Publish a notice of the adoption of these local planning policies in a newspaper circulating in the Scheme area, with the draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage**

Conservation and Development and draft Local Planning Policy: Heritage Listing, Assessment and Concessions having effect on publication of the notice.

- 3. Revoke and publish a notice of the revocation of the following local planning policies in a newspaper circulating in the Scheme area:**
 - (a) Application Fees for Planning Approval for Development of Heritage Places (No.31)**
 - (b) Assessment of Cultural Heritage Significance (No.32)**
 - (c) Development Application Process for Heritage Places (No.40)**
 - (d) Heritage Conservation and Development Policy for Heritage Places (No.46)**
 - (e) Rate Concession for Heritage Places (No.57)**
 - (f) Stirling Street Heritage Area (No.61)**
- 4. Advise the submitters of Council's decision.**
- 5 The Heritage Advisory Committee requested that if there are any subsequent changes to either policies as a result of the presentation to the Policy Review Committee prior to policies going to the Ordinary Council Meeting that they be referred back to the Heritage Advisory Committee for further consideration.**

10.3 Heritage Awards – Winners Announced

File Ref:	A03335
Applicant/Proponent:	Internal Report
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Responsible Manager:	Thor Farnworth, Manager Planning and Development Services
Executive:	Felicity Anderson, Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Nil

Summary

The WA Heritage Awards and City of Bunbury Heritage Awards were both presented in March 2018. The purpose of this report is to advise the Heritage Advisory Committee of the outcomes.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the outcomes of these awards and be congratulated on their efforts and commitment to achieving excellent heritage outcomes.

Strategic Relevance

Key Priority Area No. 1: Community and culture
Objective No. 1.5 Celebrate and recognise the richness of our arts, culture and heritage

Key Priority Area 3: Natural and Built Environment
Objective 3.4 Facilitate urban design, diversity of land uses, and enabling infrastructure.

Background

2018 WA Heritage Awards

The City of Bunbury was a finalist in the Western Australian Heritage Awards 2018. The high standard of all the individuals and projects honoured illustrates why a Heritage Award is such a highly sought-after and valued accolade.

City of Bunbury Heritage Awards

The biannual City of Bunbury Heritage Awards aims to raise awareness of the importance of the City's unique heritage; to advance conservation principles and practice; and to acknowledge and encourage excellence in conservation of heritage places and sites.

There are two award categories:

- City of Bunbury Award for Excellence in Heritage Building Design and Conservation Work
- City of Bunbury Award for Outstanding Heritage Promotion

Officer Comments

2018 WA Heritage Awards

The City was a finalist at the 2018 WA Heritage Awards held in Perth on 23 March. At the ceremony, the City of Bunbury took out the award for 'Heritage Practices by a Local Government', that recognises *"an outstanding whole-of-agency approach to the commitment and promotion of cultural heritage and/or heritage-related work, services or programs in Western Australia"*. The citation on the award was:

"The City of Bunbury's commitment to heritage priorities is demonstrated through its heritage advisory service, heritage rate concessions and planning incentives. The City's admirable whole-of-organisation commitment to heritage and engagement with its community, has seen innovative collaborations which have delivered some excellent heritage projects and outcomes."

City of Bunbury Heritage Awards

The awards are an incentive to recognise and celebrate outstanding heritage work and promotion. There were four nominations received and all have demonstrated the achievement of outstanding heritage outcomes.

The awards were presented by the Mayor in the Council Chamber Function Room at 10am on 28 March, 2018. Finalists, key heritage stakeholders and representatives from the Councillors, Executive Leadership Team and Heritage Advisory Committee Members were in attendance. The winners were:

Award 1

City of Bunbury – Award for Excellence in Heritage Building Design and Conservation Work

- Commercial – Joint winner – Lyric Theatre (fmr) and WA Bank and Solicitors' Rooms (fmr)
- Residential – Kerry Doak – 9, 11 and 13 Ednie Street, East Bunbury

Award 2

City of Bunbury – Award for Outstanding Heritage Promotion

- Prof. Adrian Egan

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

There are no financial or budget implications for the City of Bunbury.

Community Consultation

The Heritage Council and City of Bunbury have both released media statements on this achievement and the City has publicised the award winners on its social media and City Focus column.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

The CEO has advised the Councillors and ELT on the awards outcomes.

Outcome of Meeting

The recommendation was moved by Cr Kelly and seconded by Cr Smith and was carried unanimously

Committee Decision: Moved: Cr Kelly Seconded: Cr Smith

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the outcomes of these awards and be congratulated on their efforts and commitment to achieving excellent heritage outcomes.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.4 Bunbury Diorama

File Ref:	
Applicant/Proponent:	Internal Report
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Responsible Manager:	Thor Farnworth, Manager Sustainability, Planning and Development
Executive:	Felicity Anderson, Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Authority/Discretion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Nil

Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise the Heritage Advisory Committee of a recent budget bid regarding the Bunbury Diorama.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee:

- a) note that Council did not support the repair of a diorama at a cost of \$1500.
- b) agree that King Cottage is the most appropriate location for the diorama
- c) request that the Council direct officers through the Chief Executive Officer to recommend that the Historical Society direct funds to assist in the repair of the diorama.

Strategic Relevance

Key Priority Area No. 1: Community and culture
Objective No. 1.5 Celebrate and recognise the richness of our arts, culture and heritage

Key Priority Area 3: Natural and Built Environment
Objective 3.4 Facilitate urban design, diversity of land uses, and enabling infrastructure.

Background

Ray Parks provided detail on the Bunbury Diorama of the Bunbury Townsite - 1841 to 1856 that is currently housed at King Cottage. It was brought to the attention of the Heritage Committee as a significant and authentic replica of the development of Bunbury over the period.

The Diorama was built by final year Diploma in Cartography students at the South West Institute of Technology as an entry in an Australia wide Bicentenary competition for Surveying and Mapping at Darwin for which it received a commendation from judges.

It now has fallen into some neglect and disrepair. Ray raised some points for further consideration being:

- The HAC members view the Diorama at King Cottage;
- Consider other options for its location for protection and wider public viewing;
- seek funding for its repair.

It was recommended at the last Heritage Advisory Committee (14 February 2018) where it was recommended that the HAC note the matter was subject to further investigation and that members view the diorama at King Cottage.

Officer Comments

Since the HAC meeting, there was a Councillor Workshop on 13 March 2018 that listed 28 items to be considered by Council. Council does not support including the repair of a diorama of Bunbury at a cost of \$1,500 as this is not owned by the City. The diorama was gifted to the Bunbury Historical Society.

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

There are no financial or budget implications for the City of Bunbury associated with the recommendations.

Community Consultation

Community members are consulted through the Heritage Advisory Committee and key community stakeholders.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

Councillor members are consulted through the Heritage Advisory Committee.

Outcome of Meeting

Part (c) of the recommendation was proposed to be amended to:

- (c) request that the Council direct officers through the Chief Executive Officer to recommend that the Historical Society apply to the City of Bunbury for funds to assist in the repair of the diorama.

The amended recommendation was moved by Mr Suckling and seconded by Mr Johnston and was carried unanimously

Committee Decision: **Moved: Mr Suckling** **Seconded: Morris Johnston**

That the Heritage Advisory Committee:

- (a) note that Council did not support the repair of a diorama at a cost of \$1500.
- (b) agree that King Cottage is the most appropriate location for the diorama

- (c) **request that the Council direct officers through the Chief Executive Officer to recommend that the Historical Society apply to the City of Bunbury for funds to assist in the repair of the diorama.**

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

10.5 Heritage Reports

File Ref:	
Applicant/Proponent:	Internal Report
Responsible Officer:	Lacey Brown, Strategic Planning Officer (Heritage)
Responsible Manager:	Thor Farnworth, Manager Sustainability, Planning and Development
Executive:	Felicity Anderson, Acting Director Planning and Development Services
Authority/Discretion	<input type="checkbox"/> Advocacy <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Executive/Strategic <input type="checkbox"/> Quasi-Judicial <input type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Purposes
Attachments:	Nil

Summary

The purpose of this item is to advise the Heritage Advisory Committee of matters to be tabled for next/future meeting.

Executive Recommendation

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the following items are subject to further investigation and reports:

- a) Hay Park Footbridge
- b) Eelup Sign
- c) Tree Street Area

Strategic Relevance

Key Priority Area No. 1: Community and culture
Objective No. 1.5 Celebrate and recognise the richness of our arts, culture and heritage

Key Priority Area 3: Natural and Built Environment
Objective 3.4 Facilitate urban design, diversity of land uses, and enabling infrastructure.

Background

A number of heritage enquiries were raised at the last Heritage Advisory Committee (14 February 2018).

Due to the content and complexity of reports being considered in this current agenda, a number of the enquiries will be reported to the next Heritage Advisory Committee of 13 June 2018.

Officer Comments

The following matters will be further investigated and reported on at the next Heritage Advisory Committee:

- Hay Park Footbridge
- Eelup Sign
- Tree Street Area

Analysis of Financial and Budget Implications

There are no financial or budget implications for the City of Bunbury associated with the recommendations of this report as it is for the purposes of noting only.

Should further actions be recommended, a detailed investigation into associated costings will be conducted as part of the recommendation. This would then need to be considered as part of future Council budget deliberations.

Community Consultation

Community members are consulted through the Heritage Advisory Committee and key community stakeholders.

Councillor/Officer Consultation

Councillor members are consulted through the Heritage Advisory Committee.

Outcome of Meeting

The recommendation was amended with the addition of:

- (d) Hayward Street (Five Mile Brook) Footbridge
- (e) Queens Garden (Sump Crossover)

The amended recommendation was moved by Mr Suckling and seconded by Cr Smith and was carried unanimously

Committee Decision: **Moved: Mr Suckling** **Seconded: Cr Smith**

That the Heritage Advisory Committee:

That the Heritage Advisory Committee note the following items are subject to further investigation and reports:

- (a) Hay Park Footbridge
- (b) Eelup Sign
- (c) Tree Street Area
- (d) Hayward Street (Five Mile Brook) Footbridge
- (e) Queens Garden (Sump Crossover)

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

11. Applications for Leave of Absence

Not applicable to this committee.

12. Questions from Members

12.1 Response to Previous Questions from Members taken on Notice

Nil

12.2 Questions from Members

Mr Johnston raised a question regarding Ellen’s Cottage to which the Chair directed the matter be discussed outside the meeting.

13. Urgent Business

Nil

14. Date of Next Meeting

13 June 2018

15. Close of Meeting

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 5.10pm

Confirmed to be a true and correct record of proceedings of the Heritage Advisory Committee meeting held 11 April 2018.

Cr Brendan Kelly
PRESIDING MEMBER